

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Applicati n N . | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/862,524 | FRANZ ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Mohammad Ali | 2167 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Mohammad Ali.

(3) _____.

(2) Aniket Patel (Reg. No. 55,525).

(4) _____.

Date f Interview: 21 January 2005

Time: 3:00pm

Type f Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 13-16, and 22

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed with applicant's representative about the potential 101 issue. During the discussion Examiner also understood that there is more than one invention and applicant's representative agreed and prosecute the elected group to make some amendment to overcome the prior art of records and 101 issue by the Examiner's Amendment in order to allow the case.